

CATAWBA COLLEGE CMHC PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2021-2022

Program Mission

The mission of the MHS in Clinical Mental Health Counseling degree program is:

- to provide a curriculum that allows students to acquire competencies, skills, and techniques in clinical mental health counseling;
- to develop a rich culture of research and scholarship to promote the application of evidence-based practices;
- to equip students with the ability to utilize critical thinking and decision making to address clinical needs;
- and to prepare students to demonstrate knowledge, skills, and dispositions needed to interact with a diverse, multicultural, and global society.

Program Learning Outcomes

The Program Learning Outcomes within the CMHC program at Catawba College include:

1. Students will identify and experience opportunities while participating in the program that instill the four core tenets of Catawba, including scholarship, character, culture, and service.
2. Students will acquire the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for client assessment, diagnosis, case conceptualization, and treatment planning for diverse client populations.
3. Students will develop an eclectic range of therapeutic skills and techniques to implement with a diverse client population
4. Students will acquire the knowledge and understanding to practice counseling ethically.

Learning Outcome #1 - Students will identify and experience opportunities while participating in the program that instill the four core tenets of Catawba, including scholarship, character, culture, and service.

Learning Outcome #1 Targets - a) 80% or higher average score on Research Methodology assignment within CMHC 6100, b) 80% or higher average score on Ethical Case Analyses assignments within CMHC 5600, c) 80% or higher average score on Cultural Self-Analysis assignment in CMHC 5500, and d) 80% or higher average acceptable rate on Fieldwork Supervision Evaluations in both CMHC 6500 and CMHC 6900.

Learning Outcome #1 Responsible Personnel - Director of CMHC Program, Fieldwork Coordinator, and Program Faculty

Learning Outcome #1 Outcomes - a) the average score on the Research Methodology assignment was 87.7%, b) the average score on the four Ethical Case Analyses assignments was 94.7%, c) the average score on the Cultural Self-Analysis was 94.8%, and d) the average

acceptance rates on the Fieldwork Supervision Evaluations for both CMHC 6500 and CMHC 6900 were 2.6 and 2.65, respectively, which both exceed acceptable performance.

Learning Outcome #1 Recommendations – All of the four targets were successfully met within this Learning Outcome. The first three targets are relatively the same as last year, suggesting consistency within the program’s learning environment. All three measures will be evaluated to determine if there are specific mechanisms to improve performance, although each is significantly above the 80% threshold for acceptance. The fourth was new data as the fieldwork cycle had not initiated at the time of the last annual report. The data suggests that our inaugural fieldwork cohort, led by Dr. Brejcha as Fieldwork Coordinator, did an outstanding job in their practicum and internship placements. These measures will serve as baseline data as we compare the next couple of fieldwork cycles and determine what modifications to fieldwork practice are necessary.

Learning Outcome #2 - Students will acquire the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for client assessment, diagnosis, case conceptualization, and treatment planning for diverse client populations.

Learning Outcome #2 Targets – a) 80% or higher average scores on Clinical Diagnostics assignments in CMHC 5700, and b) 80% or higher average scores on Case Conceptualizations assignments in CMHC 6300.

Learning Outcome #2 Responsible Personnel – Director of CMHC Program and Program Faculty.

Learning Outcome #2 Outcomes – a) the average score on the five Clinical Diagnostics assignments was 87.3%, and b) the average score on the two Case Conceptualizations assignments was 90.9%.

Learning Outcome #2 Recommendations – Both of the targets were successfully met within this Learning Outcome. There was a slight decrease in performance regarding the clinical diagnostics, however the new DSM-5TR was introduced to the course and profession, and an issue with dual diagnosis was identified during the course offering. Both will be addressed moving forward. We will re-evaluate both targets next year to determine if we have consistency in our ability to meet these targets and make appropriate modifications as needed based on our results.

Learning Outcome #3 - Students will develop an eclectic range of therapeutic skills and techniques to implement with a diverse client population

Learning Outcome #3 Target – 80% or higher on Clinical Exercises assignments in both CMHC 5300 and CMHC 5400.

Learning Outcome #3 Responsible Personnel – Director of CMHC Program and Program Faculty.

Learning Outcome #3 Outcomes - The average score on the ten Clinical Exercises assignments was 91.0%.

Learning Outcome #3 Recommendations - The target was successfully met within this Learning Outcome. We will re-evaluate the target next year to determine if we have consistency in our ability to meet this target and make appropriate modifications as needed based on our results. This is especially necessary given one course (CMHC 5300) was taught by an adjunct. A core faculty member is now assigned to this course.

Learning Outcome #4 - Students will acquire the knowledge and understanding to implement counseling services within an ethical scope of practice.

Learning Outcome #4 Target - 80% or higher average score on Ethical Case Analyses assignments within CMHC 5600.

Learning Outcome #4 Responsible Personnel - Director of CMHC Program and Program Faculty.

Learning Outcome #4 Outcomes - The average score on the four Ethical Case Analyses assignments was 94.7%.

Learning Outcome #4 Recommendations - The target was successfully met within this Learning Outcome. This was accomplished with an intentional change to the content of two of the ethical cases for analysis, focusing more on current ethical dilemmas in the counseling arena. Replication of the assessments with the same cases will be conducted to compare the following cohort with this data.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

The following table outlines the CMHC Program's Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), which are directly related to the Section 2 and Section 5 Standards per CACREP:

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR CMHC PROGRAM			
KPI	DATA	DATA COLLECTION	DATA ANALYSIS AND UTILIZATION
KPI #1: Students will demonstrate competency in ethical and legal practices in the counseling relationship.	<p>KPI #1A: In CMHC 5600, students complete four Ethical Case Analyses addressing prominent counseling legal cases. Students utilize the ACA Code of Ethics to provide a current (including historical cases) perspective of the case with the current Code.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on rubric for each and average of all four scores.</p>	The instructor of CMHC 5600 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.	Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.
	<p>KPI #1B: During the Practicum, faculty and site supervisors complete respective evaluations, which assess students on a number of criteria, including the ethical and professional manner within their counseling practice.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on ethics and professionalism within faculty and site supervisor evaluations, and average of both scores.</p>	The Fieldwork Coordinator reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and Fieldwork Coordinator for KPI evaluation.	Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.

<p>KPI #2: Students will demonstrate self-awareness of personal values and multicultural counseling competency in regards to working with diverse clients.</p>	<p>KPI #2A: In CMHC 5500, students complete a Cultural Self-Analysis report that allows students to determine ways they can operate more intentionally to increase the inclusivity of practices as a future counselor.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5500 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #2B: In the Practicum, students' performance as counselors are assessed by their clients via a Client Evaluation Form.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the client evaluation form.</p>	<p>The Fieldwork Coordinator reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and Fieldwork Coordinator for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
<p>KPI #3: Students will demonstrate competency in human growth theoretical orientation and assessment within counseling.</p>	<p>KPI #3A: In CMHC 5800, students complete two assessments: an autobiography allowing them to reflect on their own development from a theoretical orientation, and an integration statement demonstrating their approach of integrating human development theory into their counseling practice.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5800 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress</p>

	<p>Both are evaluated using a rubric.</p> <p>Data = Total of the number of points scored on both assessments.</p>		<p>at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #3B: In CMHC 6400, students complete a Genogram as an assessment, allowing them to connect both hereditary and social/environmental factors to a family system.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the Genogram.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6400 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

<p>KPI #4: Students will demonstrate competency in career development theory and techniques within counseling.</p>	<p>KPI #4A: In CMHC 5000, students complete a Professional Orientation Report outlining their prospective pathway towards becoming a professional counselor as a result of their experience in the Introduction course.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5000 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #4B: In CMHC 5900, students complete a Career Self-Study, allowing them to reflect on their career development across their lifespan, including their future development as a professional counselor.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5900 reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructor for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

<p>KPI #5: Students will demonstrate competency in clinical counseling skills and techniques.</p>	<p>KPI #5A: In CMHC 5300 and 5400, students complete clinical exercises allowing them to conduct counseling role plays addressing clinical counseling skills.</p> <p>Data: Average of the total points for all the clinical exercises scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructors of CMHC 5300 and 5400 report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #5B: During the Internship, faculty and site supervisors complete respective evaluations, which assess students on a number of criteria, including clinical counseling effectiveness, within their counseling practice.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on clinical counseling effectiveness within faculty and site supervisor evaluations, and average of both scores.</p>	<p>The Fieldwork Coordinator reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and Fieldwork Coordinator for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

<p>KPI #5: Students will demonstrate competency in clinical counseling skills and techniques.</p>	<p>KPI #5A: In CMHC 5300 and 5400, students complete Clinical Exercises allowing them to conduct counseling role plays addressing clinical counseling skills.</p> <p>Data: Average of the total points for all the clinical exercises scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructors of CMHC 5300 and 5400 report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #5B: During the Internship, faculty and site supervisors complete respective evaluations, which assess students on a number of criteria, including clinical counseling effectiveness, within their counseling practice.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on clinical counseling effectiveness within faculty and site supervisor evaluations, and average of both scores.</p>	<p>The Fieldwork Coordinator reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and Fieldwork Coordinator for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

<p>KPI #6: Students will demonstrate competency in counseling group formation and facilitation.</p>	<p>KPI #6A: In CMHC 6000, students complete a Group Formation Project, allowing them to demonstrate the capacity to plan a counseling group.</p> <p>Data: Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6000 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #6B: During the Internship, students will lead or co-lead a group per CACREP standards. This experience will be evaluated by the site supervisor within the site supervisor evaluation.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on group leadership within the site supervisor evaluation.</p>	<p>The Fieldwork Coordinator reports results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and Fieldwork Coordinator for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
<p>KPI #7: Students will demonstrate competency in counseling assessment selection and administration.</p>	<p>KPI #7A: In CMHC 6200, students complete an Instrument Critique Report, allowing them to identify and critique via peer-review literature the psychometrics of a given instrument and its applicability for a select population for counseling purposes.</p> <p>Data: Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6200 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

	<p>KPI #6B: In CMHC 5900, students will conduct a Vocational Instrument Administration, including an interpretative report, to demonstrate competency in instrument administration.</p> <p>Data = Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6200 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
<p>KPI #8: Students will demonstrate counseling literature critique and counseling research methodology.</p>	<p>KPI #8A: In CMHC 5100, students complete a Theory Critique Report, allowing them to identify and critique via peer-review literature the effectiveness of a select counseling theory within a given counseling population.</p> <p>Data: Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5100 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #8B: In CMHC 6100, students will develop a research methodology proposal for a counseling research question, including sampling, design, measurement, and statistical analysis considerations.</p> <p>Data = Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6100 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

<p>KPI #9 (CMHC): Students will demonstrate competency in counseling case conceptualization.</p>	<p>KPI #9A: In CMHC 6300, students complete two case conceptualizations based on mock client data to demonstrate clinical competency.</p> <p>Data: Average score of the total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 6300 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
	<p>KPI #9B: In Internship, students will complete and present four case studies derived from their caseload. The faculty supervisor will assess the case conceptualization depicted within the case study.</p> <p>Data = Total points on case conceptualization within the rubric.</p>	<p>The faculty supervisor will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
<p>KPI #10 (CMHC): Students will demonstrate competency in clinical diagnosis and treatment planning.</p>	<p>KPI #10A: In CMHC 5700, students complete five clinical diagnostics based on mock client data to demonstrate clinical competency in both DSM-5 utilization, as well as initial treatment plan development.</p> <p>Data: Average score of the total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>The instructor of CMHC 5700 will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>

	<p>KPI #10B: In Internship, students will complete and present four case studies derived from their caseload. The faculty supervisor will assess the clinical diagnosis and initial treatment planning skills depicted within the case study.</p> <p>Data = Total points on both clinical diagnosis and initial treatment planning within the rubric.</p>	<p>The faculty supervisor will report results to the CMHC Program Director and results are reviewed with students and course instructors for KPI evaluation.</p>	<p>Data is shared with faculty at an annual program meeting and discussion focuses on whether changes are needed or whether learning outcomes are being maximized. The CMHC Program Director is responsible for implementing recommended changes and reports on progress at future faculty meetings.</p>
--	---	--	--

The following table provides KPI results within this given academic year:

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS RESULTS (2021-2022)		
KPI	DATA	RESULTS AND ACTION
<p>KPI #1: Students will demonstrate competency in ethical and legal practices in the counseling relationship.</p>	<p>KPI #1A: In CMHC 5600, students complete four Ethical Case Analyses addressing prominent counseling legal cases. Students utilize the ACA Code of Ethics to provide a current (including historical cases) perspective of the case with the current Code.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on rubric for each and average of all four scores.</p>	<p>Ethical Case Analysis #1 Average: 89.5%</p> <p>Ethical Case Analysis #2 Average: 95.5%</p> <p>Ethical Case Analysis #3 Average: 96.7%</p> <p>Ethical Case Analysis #4 Average: 97.3%</p> <p>Total Average: 94.7%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided to maintain the modified ethical cases utilized during this year. Although there was a slight lower average score in the</p>

		<p>first two analyses, both were above the 80% threshold and the overall total average was essentially equivalent to last year's average.</p>
	<p>KPI #1B: During the Practicum, faculty and site supervisors complete respective evaluations, which assess students on a number of criteria, including the ethical and professional manner within their counseling practice.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on ethics and professionalism within faculty and site supervisor evaluations, and average of both scores.</p>	<p>Ethical Practice Site Midway Mean: 2.3</p> <p>Ethical Practice Site Final Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Ethical Practice Faculty Midway Mean: 2.5</p> <p>Ethical Practice Faculty Final Mean: 2.0</p> <p>Average Final Mean: 2.2</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. This score represents above satisfactory performance and was noted in both the site and faculty supervisor scoring. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>

<p>KPI #2: Students will demonstrate self-awareness of personal values and multicultural counseling competency in regards to working with diverse clients.</p>	<p>KPI #2A: In CMHC 5500, students complete a Cultural Self-Analysis report that allows students to determine ways they can operate more intentionally to increase the inclusivity of practices as a future counselor.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>Total Average: 94.8%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. An additional text (Subtle Acts of Exclusion) was included into the curriculum, as well as an external certificate training in multicultural competencies. Both were introduced to strengthen the course, which may reflect the increase in the performance noted this year compared to last. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
	<p>KPI #2B: In the Practicum, students' performance as counselors are assessed for multicultural competency by their Site Supervisors via an Evaluation Form.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the client evaluation form.</p>	<p>Multicultural Competency Site Midway Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Multicultural Competency Site Final Mean: 2.9</p> <p>Multicultural Competency Faculty Midway Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Multicultural Competency Faculty Final Mean: 1.9</p> <p>Average Final Mean: 2.25</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed</p>

		<p>regarding this KPI at this time. This score represents above satisfactory performance and was noted in both the site and faculty supervisor scoring. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
<p>KPI #3: Students will demonstrate competency in human growth theoretical orientation and assessment within counseling.</p>	<p>KPI #3A: In CMHC 5800, students complete two assessments: an autobiography allowing them to reflect on their own development from a theoretical orientation, and theoretical integration statement.</p> <p>Data = Average of the number of points scored.</p>	<p>Autobiographies = 95.5%</p> <p>Integration Statement = 93.8%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time, as this is the first offering of this course and the 80% threshold was exceeded.</p>

	<p>KPI #3B: In CMHC 6400, students complete a genogram as an assessment, allowing them to connect both hereditary and social/environmental factors to a family system.</p> <p>Data = Average of the numbers of points scored.</p>	<p>Genograms = 94.6%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time, as this is the first offering of this course and the 80% threshold was exceeded.</p>
<p>KPI #4: Students will demonstrate competency in career development theory and techniques within counseling.</p>	<p>KPI #4A: In CMHC 5000, students complete a Professional Orientation Report outlining their prospective pathway towards becoming a professional counselor as a result of their experience in the Introduction course.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on the rubric.</p>	<p>Total Average: 96.1%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. The faculty recognized a need for intentional guidance regarding APA style, and this was implemented in the this year's offering. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are sufficient at that time, as the overall scores improved and remain well above the 80% threshold.</p>

		<p>5400 Clinical Exercise #4 Average: 91.6%</p> <p>5400 Clinical Exercise #5 Average: 88.6%</p> <p>Average Score: 91.0%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
	<p>KPI #5B: During the Internship, faculty and site supervisors complete respective evaluations, which assess students on a number of criteria, including clinical counseling effectiveness, within their counseling practice.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on clinical counseling effectiveness within faculty and site supervisor evaluations, and average of both scores.</p>	<p>Clinical Effectiveness Site Midway Mean: 2.0</p> <p>Clinical Effectiveness Site Final Mean: 2.6</p> <p>Clinical Effectiveness Faculty Midway Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Clinical Effectiveness Faculty Final Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Average Final Mean: 2.5</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. This score represents above satisfactory performance and was noted in both the site and faculty supervisor scoring. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>

<p>KPI #6: Students will demonstrate competency in counseling group dynamics.</p>	<p>KPI #6A: In CMHC 6000, students complete ten hours of group experience per CACREP Standard 2.6.H.</p> <p>Data: Successful completion of ten hours in group experience.</p>	<p>100% of the students successfully completed ten hours of group experience.</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time, as this is the first offering of this course and the 100% threshold was exceeded.</p>
	<p>KPI #6B: During the Practicum or Internship, students will lead or co-lead a group per CACREP standards. This experience will be evaluated by the site supervisor within the site supervisor evaluation.</p> <p>Data = Total points scored on group leadership within the site supervisor evaluation.</p>	<p>Three students successfully lead/co-lead a group in Practicum, therefore five will do so in Internship.</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time, as this is the first offering of this course and the 100% threshold was exceeded.</p>

<p>KPI #7: Students will demonstrate competency in counseling assessment selection and administration.</p>	<p>KPI #7A: In CMHC 6200, students complete an Instrument Critique Report, allowing them to identify and critique via peer-review literature the psychometrics of a given instrument and its applicability for a select population for counseling purposes.</p> <p>Data: Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Total Average: 93.7%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
	<p>KPI #6B: In CMHC 5900, students will conduct a Vocational Instrument Administration, including an interpretative report, to demonstrate competency in instrument administration.</p> <p>Data = Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Vocational Instrument Administration = 98.1%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time, as this is the first offering of this course and the 80% threshold was exceeded.</p>
<p>KPI #8: Students will demonstrate counseling literature critique and counseling research methodology.</p>	<p>KPI #8A: In CMHC 5100, students complete a Theory Critique Report, allowing them to identify and critique via peer-review literature the effectiveness of a select counseling theory within a given counseling population.</p> <p>Data: Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Total Average: 89.3%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. As indicated above, intentional guidance regarding APA may be warranted, and will be implemented in the next offering. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>

	<p>KPI #8B: In CMHC 6100, students will develop a research methodology proposal for a counseling research question, including sampling, design, measurement, and statistical analysis considerations.</p> <p>Data = Total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Total Average: 87.7%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. Attention to earlier preparation for this assignment will be provided, however this assignment is within the 80% threshold and representative of appropriate performance in a clinical graduate program.</p>
<p>KPI #9 (CMHC): Students will demonstrate competency in counseling case conceptualization.</p>	<p>KPI #9A: In CMHC 6300, students complete two case conceptualizations based on mock client data to demonstrate clinical competency.</p> <p>Data: Average score of the total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Case Conceptualization #1 Average: 95.3%</p> <p>Case Conceptualization #2 Average: 97.3%</p> <p>Total Average: 90.9%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. Both scores improved from last year and exceed the 80% threshold. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>

	<p>KPI #9B: In Internship, students will complete and present four case studies derived from their caseload. The faculty supervisor will assess the case conceptualization depicted within the case studies.</p> <p>Data = Total points on case conceptualization within the midway and final evaluations.</p>	<p>Case Conceptualization Site Midway Mean: 2.4</p> <p>Case Conceptualization Site Final Mean: 2.9</p> <p>Case Conceptualization Faculty Midway Mean: 2.0</p> <p>Case Conceptualization Faculty Final Mean: 2.0</p> <p>Average Final Mean: 2.45</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. This score represents above satisfactory performance and was noted in both the site and faculty supervisor scoring. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
<p>KPI #10 (CMHC): Students will demonstrate competency in clinical diagnosis and treatment planning.</p>	<p>KPI #10A: In CMHC 5700, students complete five clinical diagnostics based on mock client data to demonstrate clinical competency in both DSM-5 utilization, as well as initial treatment plan development.</p> <p>Data: Average score of the total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Clinical Diagnostic #1: 88.3%</p> <p>Clinical Diagnostic #2: 88.7%</p> <p>Clinical Diagnostic #3: 78.3%</p> <p>Clinical Diagnostic #4: 95.7%</p> <p>Clinical Diagnostic #5: 85.7%</p> <p>Average Score: 87.3%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no significant action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. Two items are worth mentioning however –</p>

		<p>this course experienced the shift in DSM-5 to DSM-5TR, which included a slight learning curve for all, including the faculty. The third diagnostic also reported a lower score, which was attributed to the presence of a dual diagnosis. Students will be reminded that dual diagnosis is always a possibility. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>
	<p>KPI #10B: In CMHC 6300, students complete two case conceptualizations based on mock client data to demonstrate clinical competency, specifically including treatment planning.</p> <p>Data: Average score of the total points on the rubric.</p>	<p>Case Conceptualization #1 Average: 95.3%</p> <p>Case Conceptualization #2 Average: 97.3%</p> <p>Total Average: 90.9%</p> <p>ACTION: Upon review of the data, the program faculty decided no action is needed regarding this KPI at this time. Both scores improved from last year and exceed the 80% threshold. This data will be compared to the following cohort's to assess if changes are necessary at that time.</p>

KPI Recommendations - At this point in time, all of our KPIs continue to indicate successful skill, knowledge, and competency development within the CMHC Program. Program faculty will continue to be charged with examining specific contributors to each KPI and implementing any perceived modifications to not only improve KPI performance, but also improve overall course delivery and alignment with the CACREP Standards. This report contains the first data for KPIs related to fieldwork, all of which was acceptable and promising as a new CMHC program. Faculty supervisor and site supervisor evaluations all portrayed proficient and

competent new counselors ready for professional practice. This cohort also completed the CPCE and state licensure examinations, which also supported the success noted in the KPIs, as 6/8 (75%) of the graduating cohort produced passing scores on the CPCE, and 7/8 (85%) passed the NCE exam. As a newer program, we look forward to future data collection so we can programmatically improve our effectiveness as a CMHC Program and systematically increase our outcome test measure scores.

Program Demographics/Vital Statistics

The following data provide a description of the current student body in the CMHC Program. The current student body consists of 14 students; one student began the program, however the student withdrew from the program after the first semester. Therefore, that student will not be included in the data representing the current student body.

The following data provide a description of the current student body in the CMHC Program. The current student body consists of 29 students.

Student Gender and Race:

- 22 Females (75.8%)
- 7 Males (24.2%)

- 16 Females Caucasian/White (55.2%)
- 6 Female African American/Black (20.6%)
- 1 Female Hispanic/Latino (3.4%)
- 4 Males Caucasian/White (13.8%)
- 1 Male African American/Black (3.4%)
- 1 Male Hispanic/Latino (3.4%)

Faculty Gender and Race:

- 2 Females (66.6%)
- 1 Male (33.3%)

Student Disability Status:

- 3 Students with Documented Disability (10.3%)

Student Age:

- Average age is 26.9 years, range is 22-47 years

Student Undergraduate GPA:

- Average undergraduate GPA is 3.23, range is 2.79-3.83.

Number of Applicants	Number of Admits	Number of Students	Number of Graduates	Completion Rate	CPCE Pass Rate	NCE Pass Rate	Job Placement Rate
21	15	29	8	100%	75%	85%	85%*

*One graduate has not reported back regarding current employment status.

Program Demographics/Vital Statistics Recommendations – The current student body represents students who were within the inaugural cohort of the program, as well as those admitted in the second cohort. As predicted, our student body has increased in diversity, with nearly half (48.3%) of the students self-reporting as a member of a minority/non-dominant population. This is significantly higher than what is found in most counselor education programs. Undergraduate GPA and age are sufficient, however as we move forward we desire to pursue applicants with higher GPAs for strong competition. We noted an increase in the age range among our student body for better representation, which was a goal established during last year’s report. As a program, we are inclusive and accessible for individuals with disabilities. As a program, two students have discontinued the program, however the interest, applications, and admissions have maintained a fairly full cohort model. The program faculty intends to pursue diversity as we grow, with a targeted fourth core faculty member within the next five years.

Graduate Follow-Up Evaluations: The Graduate Follow-Up evaluations, which were emailed to the graduate of the May 2022 graduate class, reported an overwhelming satisfaction with the CMHC program. The average score for all measures was 1.14 (1 representing strongly agree with the statement and 5 representing strongly disagree with the statement). Essentially, one graduate reported a slightly agree with “feeling prepared to conduct effective career counseling” and “feeling generally prepared to work as a clinical mental health counselor.” However, this student successfully passed the CPCE and NCE exams and secured employment within the internship placement, and is currently working as a professional counselor. The program intends to use this data as a baseline to compare to the next three cohorts and assess feedback, as this will put us in a “halfway” position for our potential future CACREP reaccreditation, pending our initial accreditation determination.

Employer Follow-Up Evaluations: The Employer Follow-Up evaluations, which were emailed to the graduates to provide to their employers, yielded similar results to that found in the graduate follow-up evaluations. All employers of the recent graduates report strong agreement that the CMHC program prepared qualified counselors, and a consensus was noted in their satisfaction with our graduates as current employees. It must be noted that five out of the seven employer surveys were conducted by former site supervisors, as again most of our graduates obtained employment through their internship experience. As with the graduate follow-up surveys, we will monitor the current survey utility for the next three cycles and determine if any modification to the measurement is needed.

Student Fieldwork Evaluations: The following details the cumulative data from both Practicum and Internship during this annual cycle. Note that there is both Site Supervisor and Faculty Supervisor data present.

Professional Skill	Practicum Performance Ratings (Site Supervisor)	
	Midway Evaluations	Final Evaluations
1. Case Conceptualization	Mean Rating: 2.3	Mean Rating: 2.4
2. Counseling Skills and Clinical Activities	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2.6
3. Ability to Develop Working Alliance with Clients and Coworkers	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 2.9
4. Demeanor and Professionalism	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 2.9
5. Responsiveness to Supervision	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.9
6. Record Keeping Activities	Mean Rating: 2.3	Mean Rating: 2.6
7. Diagnosing & Assessment	Mean Rating: 1.7	Mean Rating: 2.1
8. Evidence Based Practices Identification & Integration	Mean Rating: 1.9	Mean Rating: 2.1
9. Ability to Deal with Conflict	Mean Rating: 2.3	Mean Rating: 2.6
10. Willingness and Ability to Express Feelings Effectively and Appropriately	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.9
11. Multicultural Competence	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 2.9
12. Ethical Knowledge, Skills & Application	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.7
13. Group Counseling/Group Work (n=3)	Mean Rating: 2.7	Mean Rating: 2.7
	Midway Mean: 2.3	Final Mean: 2.6

Overall Performance	"Satisfactory or Better"	"Satisfactory or Better"
----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Professional Skill	Practicum Performance Ratings (Faculty Supervisor)	
	Midway Evaluations	Final Evaluations
1. Case Conceptualization	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2
2. Counseling Skills and Clinical Activities	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 2.4
3. Ability to Develop Working Alliance with Clients and Coworkers	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2.8
4. Demeanor and Professionalism	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.4
5. Responsiveness to Supervision	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.6
6. Record Keeping Activities	Mean Rating: 1.9	Mean Rating: 1.9
7. Diagnosing & Assessment	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2
8. Evidence Based Practices Identification & Integration	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2.3
9. Ability to Deal with Conflict	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2.1
10. Willingness and Ability to Express Feelings Effectively and Appropriately	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2
11. Multicultural Competence	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 1.9
12. Ethical Knowledge, Skills & Application	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2.5

13. Group Counseling/Group Work (n=3)	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 3
Overall Performance	Midway Mean: 2.2 "Satisfactory or Better"	Final Mean: 2.3 "Satisfactory or Better"

Professional Skill	Internship Performance Ratings (Site Supervisor)	
	Midway Evaluations	Final Evaluations
1. Case Conceptualization	Mean Rating: 2.4	Mean Rating: 2.9
2. Counseling Skills and Clinical Activities	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.9
3. Ability to Develop Working Alliance with Clients and Coworkers	Mean Rating: 2.6	Mean Rating: 2.9
4. Demeanor and Professionalism	Mean Rating: 2.6	Mean Rating: 2.8
5. Responsiveness to Supervision	Mean Rating: 2.8	Mean Rating: 2.9
6. Record Keeping Activities	Mean Rating: 2.7	Mean Rating: 2.9
7. Diagnosing & Assessment	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2.6
8. Evidence Based Practices Identification & Integration	Mean Rating: 2.2	Mean Rating: 2.9
9. Ability to Deal with Conflict	Mean Rating: 2.3	Mean Rating: 2.6
10. Willingness and Ability to Express Feelings Effectively and Appropriately	Mean Rating: 2.9	Mean Rating: 2.9
11. Multicultural Competence	Mean Rating: 2.5	Mean Rating: 2.8

12. Ethical Knowledge, Skills & Application	Mean Rating: 2.6	Mean Rating: 2.8
Overall Performance	Midway Mean: 2.5 "Satisfactory or Better"	Final Mean: 2.8 "Satisfactory or Better"

Professional Skill	Internship Performance Ratings (Faculty Supervisor)	
	Midway Evaluations	Final Evaluations
1. Case Conceptualization	Mean Rating: 2	Mean Rating: 2
2. Counseling Skills and Clinical Activities	Mean Rating: 1.9	Mean Rating: 2.3
3. Ability to Develop Working Alliance with Clients and Coworkers	Mean Rating: 2.9	Mean Rating: 2.9
4. Demeanor and Professionalism	Mean Rating: 2.9	Mean Rating: 2.9
5. Responsiveness to Supervision	Mean Rating: 2.9	Mean Rating: 2.9
6. Record Keeping Activities	Mean Rating: 2.8	Mean Rating: 2.9
7. Diagnosing & Assessment	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2.1
8. Evidence Based Practices Identification & Integration	Mean Rating: 1.9	Mean Rating: 2
9. Ability to Deal with Conflict	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2.3
10. Willingness and Ability to Express Feelings Effectively and Appropriately	Mean Rating: 2.1	Mean Rating: 2.4
11. Multicultural Competence	Mean Rating: 2.8	Mean Rating: 2.8

12. Ethical Knowledge, Skills & Application	Mean Rating: 2.8	Mean Rating: 2.8
Overall Performance	Midway Mean: 2.4 "Satisfactory or Better"	Final Mean: 2.5 "Satisfactory or Better"

CMHC Comprehensive Examinations: The following data provide scoring for the comprehensive examinations conducted throughout the academic year. These exams serve two primary purposes: 1) to assess continuity in learning and act as preparation/practice for the CPCE and NCE exams, and 2) allow summative evaluation of learning for the overall course content. The following table depicts the comprehensive examination data from this academic year, including three sequential exams assessing content as courses were completed:

	Mean Scores (First Semester Comprehensive Exam)	Mean Scores (Second Semester Comprehensive Exam)	Mean Scores (Third Semester Comprehensive Exam)
Complete Exam	88.6%	83.9%	84.0%
CMHC 5000 Content	89.3%	86.2%	80.4%
CMHC 5100 Content	84.8. %	80.9%	81.9%
CMHC 5200 Content	91.3%	87.7%	82.7%
CMHC 5300 Content	88.1%	82.1%	84.9%
CMHC 5400 Content	----	84.9%	82.3%
CMHC 5500 Content	----	89.1%	87.8%
CMHC 5600 Content	----	84.4%	87.3%
CMHC 5700 Content	----	84.3%	86.9%
CMHC 6100 Content	----	----	81.1%
CMHC 6200 Content	----	----	82.9%
CMHC 6300 Content	----	----	92.3%

The data suggests that both objectives are being successfully met. The program desires to see at least 80% or higher in all content areas to argue continuity in learning and appropriate summative evaluation. The reported scores are also favorable for future CPCE and NCE exam performance, which is also validated by our CPCE and NCE scores of the graduating cohort during this cycle. The CPCE pass percentage was 75% (6/8), and the NCE pass percentage was 85% (7/8).